Framework	Academic review
Responsible Person	Elena Forasacco
Year	2017 - 2018
Rationale	Drivers behind this framework, relevant QAA, UKGOC, Research Councils, industry.
	The components of this framework are review and critique, to enable students to enhance their critical thinking and self-assessment skills.
	The main drivers are:
	- Imperial College Regulations: "The thesis shall: [] give a critical assessment of the relevant literature []" (p. 5, point d) [1]
	 Industry: critical thinking and problem solving are employability criteria, and Higher Education institutions need to support students in their development (Chapter B4, indicator 6; Chapter B11, indicator 14, QAA) [2]
	- Statement of Expectations for Postgraduate Training: "Students should receive training [] and understand how to comply with relevant ethical, legal and professional frameworks" (p. 2, Research Councils UK) [3]
	 Researcher Development Statement: Critical thinking and Evaluating are cognitive abilities needed to perform an excellent research [4]
Courses	Courses which have this as a component.
	Doctoral courses:
	- Webinar - Writing for success 2: Writing tips
	- Writing for success 3: Literature review
	- Writing for success 4: Publication
	- Writing for success 5: Thesis
	- Writing for success 7: Understanding the reviewer
	- Webinar – Perfecting presentations 1: Poster top tips
	- Perfecting presentations 2: Present you poster!
	- Perfecting presentations 3: Conference and seminars
	- Perfecting presentations 4: Advanced presentations
	- Entrepreneurship 2: Enterprising women: Identifying skills and opportunities
	- Entrepreneurship 7b: Strategically marketing your research
	- Webinar – Success in academia 1: Building your research profile
	- Webinar – Success in academia 2: Understanding the UK HE landscape
	- Webinar – Success in academia 3: Making an impact
	- FUMO
	- Global Postgraduate Retreats: Thesis writing.

	Masterclasses:
	- Writing for masters 2: Literature review
	- Writing for masters 3: Dissertation and thesis
	- Writing for masters 4: PhD proposal (MRes)
	- Writing for success 5: Narrative writing in academia
	- Research effectiveness 3: Creative thinking
	- Research effectiveness 4: Research ethics
	- Research effectiveness 5: Critical thinking (MRes)
	- Moving forward 4 – Stepping up: Master's to PhD
Comment	Internal collaborators: Centre for Academic English, Library, Registry Office
	Relevant References which provide evidence for approach [1] Imperial College Regulations: http://www.imperial.ac.uk/media/imperial-college/administration-and-support-services/registry/academic-governance/public/regulations/2016-17/academic/MPhil-and-PhD-after-Jan-11_Jun-16.pdf
References	[2] Assuring Standards and Quality, QAA (2017): http://www.qaa.ac.uk/assuring-standards-and-quality/the-quality-code/quality-code-part-b
	[3] Statement of Expectations for Postgraduate Training, Research Councils UK (2016): http://www.rcuk.ac.uk/documents/skills/statementofexpectation-pdf/
	[4] Researcher Development Statement, Vitae: https://www.vitae.ac.uk/vitae-publications/rdf-related/researcher-development-statement-rds-vitae.pdf/view