Imperial College London

Statisticalmethods for separating human and automated activity in computer network traffic

Francesco Sanna Passino

francesco.sanna-passino16@imperial.ac.uk

Supervisor: **Dr Nicholas Heard**

PhD project

"Latent factor representations of dynamic networks in cyber-security"

1. Problem

Most datasets used for cyber-security can be considered as mixtures of human and automated events. For example, it is estimated that the proportion of automated traffic in Network Flow data is approximately 95%. For statistical purposes, it is essential to correctly separate these two types of activity, in order to build sound models of normal behaviour of the network.

Figure 1: *Imperial College network graph on June 7, 2017, 11:15 – 11:16am. Each node corresponds to an IP address, an edge is drawn if the two IPs have connected within the observation period.*

Suppose that an edge is periodic at significance level α with periodicity $p = T \Delta t / \mathrm{argmax}_{1 \leq k \leq \lfloor T / 2 \rfloor} \hat{S}(f_k)$. Let t_1,\ldots,t_N be the sequence of **arrival times** on the edge. The quantity of interest for inference is a **latent assignment** z_i , defined as follows:

> $z_i =$ $\int 0$ if t_i is human 1 if t_i is automated

where $\mathbb{P}(z_i = 1) = \theta$ and $\mathbb{P}(z_i = 0) = 1 - \theta$. Two quantities are used to model the arrival times: \bullet the **wrapped arrival time** x_i :

 $x_i = (t_i \mod p) \times 2\pi/p$

• the **daily arrival time** y_i :

 $y_i = (t_i \mod 86400) \times 2\pi/86400$

where 86400 is the number of seconds in one day.

2. Detection of periodicities

Methodology developed in **Heard, Rubin–Delanchy and Lawson (2014)**:

- $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N \rightarrow$ timestamps of the NetFlow events involving a client X and a server Y ,
- $N(t)$, $t \geq 0$ \rightarrow counting process: number of NetFlow records involving the client X and the server Y at each time point *t*, starting from $t = 0$,
- *Periodogram* $\hat{S}(f)$ at frequency $f > 0$:

• For simplicity, assume T mod $86400 = 0$ and T mod $p = 0$. Then the density of an arrival time can be decomposed as:

$f(t_i|z_i) \propto f_A(x_i)^{z_i} f_H(y_i)^{1-z_i}$

$$
\hat{S}(f) = \frac{1}{T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(dN(t) - \frac{N(T)}{T} \right) e^{-2\pi i f t} \right|^2
$$

where $dN(t) = N(t) - N(t - 1)$. • Fourier's *g*-test for the null H_0 of no periodicities:

- Human events are modelled using the daily arrival time y_i , automated events using the wrapped arrival time x_i .
- *Fixed phase polling*: event times occur every p seconds plus a random zero-mean error.

$$
g = \frac{\max_{1 \le k \le \lfloor T/2 \rfloor} \hat{S}(f_k)}{\sum_{1 \le j \le \lfloor T/2 \rfloor} \hat{S}(f_j)}, \ f_k = \frac{k}{T\Delta t}
$$

• Setting $\lambda = \min\{\lfloor 1/g\rfloor, \lfloor T/2\rfloor\}$, the *p*-value is:

where *B* is the number of bins, $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{B+1})$ are the bin locations, and $\bm{h}=(h_1,\ldots,h_B),\;\sum_jh_j=1,h_j\geq 0\;\forall\;j$ are the bar heights.

$$
\mathbb{P}(g > g_{\star}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} (-1)^{j-1} \cdot \frac{m}{j} \cdot (1 - j g_{\star})^{m-1}
$$

3. Transforming the data

- General framework: B, τ, h unknown \longrightarrow inference is easier (conjugate priors available!) when considering $\tau_j = 2\pi j/B, \ j=0,\ldots,B$ for each possible value of an unknown $B \in \{1,\ldots,B_{\max}\}.$
- The resulting model, for T mod $86400 = 0$ and $|T/p| \gg T$ mod p, is a mixture of the two components:

Figure 2: *Densities used in the model,* $p = 6$ *hours,* $\mu =$ $π$ *, σ</math>² = 1<i>,</i> $θ$ = 0.5<i>,</i> B = 12<i>,</i> <math>τ_j = \frac{2πj}{B}</math>* $\frac{d\pi j}{B},\;j=0,\dots,B.$ *Top plot (***red***): unnormalised density of the automated events. Middle plot (***blue***): unnormalised density of the human events. Bottom plot (***green***): unnormalised density of the 50-50 mixture.*

4. The model

- 2 weeks of connections between an IP X and the Microsoft Live IP 157.56.192.95.
- 13545 events, 1425 filtered human connections.
- The activity slightly increases during the day, suggesting a mixture of human and automated events.
- The distribution of human events obtained from the model shows a clear diurnal pattern, with almost no activity during the night.
- Events are not labeled in this example, but encouraging results have been obtained on synthetic labeled data.

$$
x_i|(z_i = 1, \mu, \sigma^2) \stackrel{d}{\sim} \mathbb{W}\mathbb{N}_{[0,2\pi)}(\mu, \sigma^2)
$$

• Unknown density of the daily arrival times \rightarrow step function:

Figure 5: *Distribution of the wrapped* data, $p = 4089.86s$ and model fit *(MAP estimates of* μ *and* σ^2).

$$
p(y_i|z_i=0, \mathbf{h}, \boldsymbol{\tau}, B) = \sum_{j=1}^{B} \frac{h_j}{\tau_{(j+1)} - \tau_{(j)}} \mathbb{1}\{y_i \in [\tau_{(j)}, \tau_{(j+1)})\}
$$

$$
f(t_i|z_i) \propto \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x_i+2\pi k-\mu)^2\right\}\right)^{z_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{B} \frac{h_j}{\tau_{(j+1)}-\tau_{(j)}} \mathbb{1}\{y_i \in [\tau_{(j)},\tau_{(j+1)})\}\right)^{1-z_i}
$$

- Prior distributions for conjugate analysis in the case of a standard histogram ($\tau_j =$ $2\pi j/B, j = 0, \ldots, B$: $(\mu, \sigma^2) \stackrel{d}{\sim} \text{NIG}(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2, \alpha_0, \beta_0)$
	- $\theta \stackrel{d}{\sim} \text{Beta}(\gamma_0, \delta_0)$
	- $\bm{h}|B \stackrel{d}{\sim} \text{Dirichlet}(2\pi\eta/B\bm{1}^\top)$
- Straightforward Gibbs sampler available, even when *B* is unknown \rightarrow it is possible to jointly sample (h, B) .
- The algorithm successfully separates human and automated activity in synthetic (labelled) datasets.
- Reasonable results on real edges, where the true labels are not available.

Figure 3: *Representation of the histogram model for a fixed number of bins* B*.*

5. Results on a real edge

Figure 4: *Daily distribution of the data, slight evidence of increased activity during working hours.*

Figure 6: *Estimated optimal histogram of human events,* $\hat{B}_{\text{opt}} = 7$. Clear diurnal pattern, activity *concentrated in working hours only.*

6. Comments

- Simple algorithm to separate human and automated activity on a single edge in a computer network.
- Gibbs sampler with conjugate priors \rightarrow scalable to multiple edges and nodes across the entire network.
- Results on multiple real and simulated dataset show good performance of the model.

References

• Heard, N.A, P.T.G. Rubin–Delanchy, and D.J. Lawson (2014), *"Filtering automated polling traffic in computer network flow data"*. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE Joint Intelligence & Security Informatics Conference (JISIC 2014)*, pp. 268-271 (2014).